

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Iowa Ethics and Campaign Disclosure Board	<b>Date:</b>	April 2, 2025	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	Start: 92 End: 0
<b>IAC #:</b>	351–11.1 through 351–11.29	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	Chapter 351 Subchapter 11	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	68B.32A(1)
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Kimberly Murphy	<b>Email:</b>	kim.murphy2@iowa.gov	<b>Phone:</b>	515-423-4112

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

Subchapter 11 provides clarity on the agency’s contested case process.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

The rules achieve the benefit they were originally promulgated to, but they are redundant to future uniform rules offered by the administrative rules coordinator, per 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2370, section 12.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

Any costs incurred by the public, including time resources, are in the normal course of business.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

Costs incurred by the agency, including time resources, are beneficial to the public at large, specifically those looking to appeal agency administrative decisions.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Transparency to the public is paramount and is achieved in this case at minimal cost to the agency.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

“Less restrictive alternatives” include uniform procedures for these requests across agencies, unless deviation is necessary for agency-specific issues.

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

This chapter is duplicative of processes laid out in Iowa Code Chapter 17A. Additionally, they are duplicative of anticipated uniform rules on the topic that are forthcoming from the rules coordinator.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

The Board proposes rescinding the entirety of Subchapter 11 in anticipation of uniform rules.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

N/A

**\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.**

## METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	92
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	-7,060
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	105 (shall) 16 (must) 7 (prohibit) 26 (require) 1 (restrict) TOTAL: 155

### ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

Per Iowa Acts, Senate File 2370, section 12, the uniform rules for agency procedure regarding fair information practices should be adopted by the administrative rules coordinator in conjunction with the Attorney General's Office. IECDB commits to promulgating agency-specific rules if necessary to further the interests of stakeholders working with the Board to comply.